IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE TWENTY EIGHTH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ELIPE DHARMA RAO

WRIT PETITION NO : 10104 of 2005

Between:

K.Bala Narsi Reddy, S/o. Bala Narsi Reddy,
Rajupalem Mandal, Kadapa District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Joint Colector, Kadapa, Kadapa District.
2 The Revenue Divisional Officer, Jammalamadugu, Kadapa District.
3 The Mandal Revenue Officer, Rajupalem Mandal, Kadapa District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of Certiorari, calling for records in and relating to the proceedings of the 1st respondent Ref.No. (13)707/2005, dt.13.04.2005 and quash the same by holding that the proceeding are illegal, arbitrary and consequently direct the respondents to continue the petitioner as Fari Price Shop Dealer of Arkatavemula Village, of Rajupalem Mandal, Kadapa District, pending appeal before the 1st respondent, and to pass such other order or orders.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.K.SITA RAM

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR CIVIL SUPPLIES

The Court at the stage of admission made the following :

ORAL ORDER:

Petitioner is a fair price shop dealer. The second respondent by his order dated 18-3-2005, basing on the report submitted by the third respondent, suspended his authorization, on certain alleged irregularities, pending enquiry. Hence the present writ petition seeking to quash the said proceedings.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that aggrieved by the order of suspension, the petitioner preferred an appeal before the first respondent and it is pending. He further submitted that along with the said appeal, the petitioner filed an application seeking interim stay of the order of the second respondent, but the first respondent rejected the said application.

Having regard to the submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner had already assailed the matter before the appellate authority-first respondent, nothing survives in this writ petition.

Therefore, the writ petition is disposed of at the stage of admission, with a direction to the first respondent to dispose of the appeal preferred by the petitioner as expeditiously as possible, preferably, within four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. No order as to costs.

---

kvsn 28-04-2005

To

1 The Joint Colector, Kadapa, Kadapa District.

2 The Revenue Divisional Officer, Jammalamadugu,
Kadapa District.

3 The Mandal Revenue Officer, Rajupalem Mandal,
Kadapa District.

4 Two CCs to GP for Civil Supplies, High Court buildings, Hyderabad.

5 Two CD copies.